1	MARY ANN SMITH Deputy Commissioner				
2 3	SEAN ROONEY Assistant Chief Counsel BLAINE A. NOBLETT (State Bar No. 235612)				
4	Senior Counsel				
5	Department of Business Oversight 320 West 4th Street, Ste. 750				
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013-2344 Telephone: (213) 576-1396 Facsimile: (213) 576-7181				
7					
8	Attorneys for Complainant				
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT				
10	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	In the Matter of:	CRMLA LICENSE No. 413-0901			
13	THE COMMISSIONER OF BUSINESS) OVERSIGHT,	CITATION			
14					
15	Complainant,)				
16	vs.				
17)				
18	AMERIFIRST FINANCIAL, INC.				
19	Respondent.				
20					
21	Jan Lynn Owen, the Commissioner of Busin	ness Oversight ("Commissioner"), finds the			
22	following:				
23	I				
24	<u>Parties</u>				
25	1. The Commissioner is authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of the				
26	California Residential Mortgage Lending Act (Fin. Code, § 50000 et seq.) ("CRMLA") and the rules				
27	issued under title 10 of the California Code of Regulations ("CCR") that regulate the business				
28					
	-1-				
	CITATION				

and activities of residential mortgage lenders.

2. AmeriFirst Financial Inc. ("AmeriFirst") is a residential mortgage lender licensed by the Commissioner under the CRMLA. AmeriFirst has its principal place of business located at 1550 East McKellips Road, Suite 117, Mesa, Arizona.

II

Statement of Facts

- 3. On or about May 19, 2014, the Commissioner commenced a regulatory examination of AmeriFirst's books and records.
- 4. In accordance with the Department's practice, the Commissioner issued the company a confidential Report of Examination dated March 19, 2015 ("ROE"). The ROE detailed the Commissioner's May 2014 examination findings. The ROE states on its face, "THIS REPORT EXAMINATION IS STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL." The document further advises the licensee that:

This report of examination has been made by an examiner designated by the Commissioner of Business Oversight for use by the Commissioner in the supervision of the company. Pursuant to Section 50302(e) of the Financial Code, this report is the property of the Department of Business Oversight and is furnished to the company examined for its confidential use. Under no circumstances shall the company or any of its directors, officers, or employees disclose or make public in any manner this report or any portion thereof to anyone other than law enforcement officials, or other state or federal regulatory agencies. (emphasis in the original.)

- 5. Subsequent to the examination, on or about March 20, 2015, the Commissioner commenced an administrative action against AmeriFirst for violations of the CRMLA, as disclosed in the ROE. AmeriFirst agreed to settle all of the Commissioner's claims and voluntarily entered into an agreement with the Department on April 21, 2015.
- 6. On or about August 27, 2015, the Commissioner received an e-mail request from an individual identifying himself as a Mortgage Asset Research Institute ("MARI") associate. The MARI associate requested information about the ROE issued to AmeriFirst. A copy of the ROE was included with the associate's inquiry. Based on the research associate's correspondence, it appeared AmeriFirst had disclosed the confidential ROE to MARI representatives.

	7.	According to its website, MARI "is a leading provider of information services to the
Fina	ncial Serv	rices industry. It provides innovative solutions and expands fraud fighting services that
inclu	ide the M	ortgage Industry Data Exchange Since 1990, [MARI] has grown into a recognized
indu	stry leade	r and premier provider of information solutions and industry insights for and about
mor	tgage prof	essionals and companies."

8. The Commissioner subsequently issued a subpoena to AmeriFirst to determine if the company had disclosed the confidential ROE to MARI representatives. The AmeriFirst responded to the subpoena, producing a company e-mail, addressed to LexisNexis MARI Support, dated May 29, 2015. The body of the e-mail states, "Report of Examination is attached." AmeriFirst included, as an attachment to the e-mail, a copy of the ROE. AmeriFirst disclosed the confidential ROE to MARI representatives.

Ш

<u>Citation</u> (Violations of Financial Code sections 50302 and 50314)

9. Financial Code section 50302, subdivision (e), provides:

The statement of the findings of an examination shall belong to the commissioner and shall not be disclosed to anyone other than the licensee, law enforcement officials, or other state or federal regulatory agencies for further investigation and enforcement. Reports required of licensees by the commissioner under this division and results of examinations performed by the commissioner under this division are the property of the commissioner.

10. Financial Code section 50314, subdivision (d), provides:

Investigation and examination reports prepared by the commissioner's duly designated representatives are not public reports. Those reports may be disclosed to the officers or directors of a licensee that is the subject of the report for the purpose of corrective action by the officers or directors. Such a disclosure shall not operate as a waiver of the exemption specified in subdivision (d) of Section 6254 of the Government Code.

///

27 | | / / /

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	11.	Based on the foregoing findings, the Commissioner is of the opinion that AmeriFirst
disclose	ed the	confidential ROE to third parties in violation of Financial Code sections 50302,
subdivi	sion (e	e) and 50314, subdivision (d). Under the provisions of Financial Code section 50501.5,
AmeriF	First is	hereby ordered to pay the Commissioner an administrative fine of \$2,500.00.
	12.	Financial Code section 50501.5 provides in pertinent part:
		(a) If, upon inspection, examination, or investigation, the commissioner

has cause to believe that a licensee or person is violating or has violated any provision of this division or any rule or order thereunder, the commissioner or his or her designee may issue a citation to that licensee or person in writing, describing with particularity the basis of the citation. Each citation may contain an order to correct the violation or violations identified and provide a reasonable time period or periods by which the violation or violations must be corrected. In addition, each citation may assess an administrative fine not to exceed two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) that shall be deposited in the State Corporations Fund. In assessing a fine, the commissioner shall give due consideration to the appropriateness of the amount of the fine with respect to factors including the gravity of the violation, the good faith of the person or licensees cited, and the history of previous violations. A citation issued and a fine assessed pursuant to this section, while constituting punishment for a violation of law, shall be in lieu of other administrative discipline by the commissioner for the offense or offenses cited, and the citation and fine payment thereof by a licensee shall not be reported as disciplinary action taken by the commissioner.

. . .

- (c) If, within 30 days from the receipt of the citation, the person cited fails to notify the department that the person intends to request a hearing as described in subdivision (d), the citation shall be deemed final.
- (d) Any hearing under this section shall be conducted in accordance with Chapter 5 (commencing with Section 11500) of Part 1 of Division 3 of Title 2 of the Government Code.
- (e) After the exhaustion of the review procedures provided for in this section, the commissioner may apply to the appropriate superior court for a judgment in the amount of the administrative fine and an order compelling the cited person to comply with the order of the commissioner. The application, which shall include a certified copy of

2

3	13. The administrative line shall be made payable to the Department of Business	
4	Oversight and submitted to Blaine A. Noblett, Senior Counsel, 320 W. 4th Street, Suite 750, Los	
5	Angeles, California 90013-2344, within 30 days from the receipt of the citation, unless AmeriFirst	
6	notifies the Department that it intends to request a hearing as described in subdivision (d) of Financial	
7	Code section 50501.5.	
8	Dated: July 5, 2016 Los Angeles, CA	
9	JAN LYNN OWEN	
10	Commissioner of Business Oversight	
11	By	
12	MARY ANN SMITH	
13	Deputy Commissioner Enforcement Division	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-5-	

CITATION

the final order of the commissioner, shall constitute a sufficient

showing to warrant the issuance of the judgment and order.